

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/705,952	11/13/2003	Leon LaHay	221P6US	6709	
20577 75	90 02/08/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
LONG AND CAMERON			HUYNH, KHOA D		
	1166 ALBERNI STREET , BC V6E 3Z3		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CANADA	, 50 (05 323		3751		

DATE MAILED: 02/08/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/705,952	LAHAY, LEON				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Khoa D. Huynh	3751				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address:				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.15 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed /s will be considered timely. I the mailing date of this communic. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 N	ovember 2005					
, —							
3)							
- /	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
•	Claim(s) 12-32 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
·	Claim(s) <u>12-32</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	r cleation requirement	1				
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
	ion Papers						
	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)[]	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
		• • •		24/4)			
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	<u> </u>					
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive	ion No				
* (See the attached detailed Office action for a list	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ed.				
Attachmen		,, ()					
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Infor	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Di 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: a connection anchoring as recited in claim 14.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 15 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 15 and 16 recite the limitation "said connection". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 12-14, 17-19, 22-24 and 26-32, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Fettes (5950252).

Regarding claim 12, the Fettes reference discloses a spa cover lifting mechanism (Fig. 15) in combination with a spa cover (16) and a spa housing

Application/Control Number: 10/705,952

Art Unit: 3751

(10). The mechanism includes a pair of lifting arms (at 22). The lifting arms have first ends (about 29) pivotally mounted at opposite sides of the spa cover and opposition second ends (about 66). The mechanism also includes a pair of lifting arm supports (25). Pivotal connections (97) between the lifting arms supports allow pivotation of the lifting arms between raise and lowered positions. A spa cover engagement structure(s) (Fig. 3) arrange at said opposite second ends for lifting engagement with the spa cover (16). Spring means (32) connects to the first ends (via elements 38 & 25) of the lifting arms and biasing the lifting arms, wherein the spring means (32) assists the pivotal movement to raise the spa cover engagement structures and therewith the spa cover. A spring enclosure (constitute by panel 208 viewing from inside the page out or inside the tub looking out) partially encloses the spring means from the user's view.

Page 3

Regarding claim 13, the mechanism also includes means for longitudinally (38) adjusting the position and tension of the spring means (32).

Regarding claim 14, each of the spring means (32) includes a first spring end (the hooking portion adjacent element 38), a link (47) connecting the first spring end to the first end of the respective lifting arms, a second spring end (30) and a connection anchoring (at 214) anchoring the second spring end.

Regarding claim 17, the mechanism also includes means for securing (bolts 48 and element 206) the spring enclosure (constitute by panel 208 viewing from inside the page out or inside the tub looking out) to opposite sides of the

spa housing and pivotal connections between the first ends of the lifting arms and respective ones of the spring enclosures.

Regarding claim 18, the Fettes reference discloses a spa cover lifting mechanism (Fig. 15). The mechanism includes a pair of lifting arms (at 22), a pair of lifting arm supports (25). Pivotal connections (97,48) between the supports and the lifting arms, a pair of tension spring (32) connects to respective ones of the lifting arms, a pair of elongate housing (constitute by panel 208 viewing from inside the page out or inside the tub looking out) extending at an angle to the lifting arms and containing the springs so as to block the springs from the user's view.

Regarding claim 19, the mechanism also includes connections (about 38, 47, 30, 214) between the tension springs and the housings, wherein the connections are being adjustable longitudinally of the housings.

Regarding claim 22, the elongated housings have ends (about 214). The supports (25) are secured to the ends of the housings (via elements 38, 47, 32, 30) and the pivotal connections (97,48) are proximate to and spaced from respective ends of the lifting arms.

Regarding claim 23, the Fettes reference discloses a spa cover lifting mechanism (Fig. 15). The mechanism includes a pair of lifting arms (at 22). The lifting arms have first ends (about 29) pivotally mounted at opposite sides of the spa cover and opposition second ends (about 66). The mechanism also includes a pair of lifting arm supports (25), a pair of pivotal connections (97,48) between

Art Unit: 3751

the lifting arms and the supports, a spa cover engagement structure(s) (Fig. 3) arrange at said opposite second ends for lifting engagement with the spa cover (16). A pair of springs (32) biases the lifting arms, wherein the springs (32) assist the pivotal movement to raise the spa cover engagement structures and therewith the spa cover. A spring housing (constitute by panel 208 viewing from inside the page out or inside the tub looking out) partially encloses the spring means from the user's view.

Regarding claim 24, the mechanism also includes links (38,47) connecting the springs to the lifting arms.

Regarding claim 26, the lifting arm supports (25) are provided (through the connection 97) on the spring housings.

Regarding claim 27, the mechanism also includes connections (about 38, 47, 30, 214) between the tension springs and the housings, wherein the connections are being adjustable longitudinally of the housings.

Regarding claim 28, the Fettes reference discloses a spa cover lifting mechanism (Fig. 15). The mechanism includes a pair of lifting arms (at 22). The lifting arms have first ends (about 29) pivotally mounted at opposite sides of the spa cover and opposition second ends (about 66). The mechanism also includes a pair of springs (32) each extending at an angle, connections (47) between the tension springs and the first ends of the lifting arms, a spa cover engagement structure(s) (Fig. 3) arrange at said opposite second ends for lifting engagement

with the spa cover (16), a pair of lifting arm supports (25), a pair of pivotal connections (97,48) between the lifting arms and the supports.

Regarding claim 29, a pair of spring housings (constitute by panels 208 viewing from inside the page out or inside the tub looking out) partially encloses the spring means from the user's view.

Regarding claim 30, as schematically shown in Figs. 15 & 16, the lifting arms are pivotable about the pivotal connections between raised and lowered positions and the housings each have an open end (the opening portion of element 208 near element 29), said springs being connected to the lifting arms through the open ends and the first ends of the lifting arms covering the open ends of the housings on pivotation of said lifting arms into said raised positions (Fig. 15).

Regarding claim 31, each of the tension springs has a spring tension adjuster (38).

Regarding claim 32, the lifting arm supports (25) are provided (through the connection 97) on the spring housings.

6. Claims 12 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Perry (4853985).

The Perry reference discloses a spa cover lifting mechanism in combination with a spa cover and a spa housing (Fig. 2). The mechanism includes a pair of lifting arms (at 46). The lifting arms have first ends (about 64) pivotally mounted at opposite sides of the spa cover and opposition second ends

(about 56). The mechanism also includes a pair of lifting arm supports (86). Pivotal connections (64,84) between the lifting arms supports allow pivotation of the lifting arms between raise and lowered positions. A spa cover engagement structure (42) arrange at said opposite second ends for lifting engagement with the spa cover (14). Spring means (18) connects to the first ends (via elements 78,80,88) of the lifting arms and biasing the lifting arms, wherein the spring means (18) assists the pivotal movement to raise the spa cover engagement structures and therewith the spa cover. A spring enclosure (85) encloses the spring means from the user's view.

Regarding claim 13, the Perry reference also discloses means for longitudinally adjusting the position and tension of the spring means (col. 8, lines 55-59).

7. Claims 18-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Seyler (4776626).

Regarding claim 18, the Seyler reference discloses a cover lifting mechanism (Fig. 1). The mechanism includes a pair of lifting arms (at 16), a pair of lifting arm supports (constitute by the end portion of element 24 which has hole for receiving bolt 29 as shown in Figure 4). Pivotal connections (29) between the supports and the lifting arms, a pair of tension spring (15) connects to respective ones of the lifting arms, a pair of elongate housing (14) extending at an angle to the lifting arms and containing the tension springs (15).

Art Unit: 3751

All functional implication and statement of intended use have been full considered. They, however, are deemed not to impose any structural limitation distinguishable over the Seyler device which is capable of being used as a spa cover lifting mechanism.

Regarding claims 19-21, the mechanism also includes connections (Fig. 3) between the tension springs and the housings, wherein the connections are being adjustable longitudinally of the housings. Each of the connections includes a threaded adjustment member (at 31), wherein the threaded adjustment member is anchored in an end closure (about 33) of the housing remote from the lifting arm.

8. Claims 23-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Burke (2641019).

Regarding claim 23, the Burke reference discloses a cover lifting mechanism (Fig. 5). The mechanism includes a pair of lifting arms (at 16) each having a first end (constitute by the portion adjacent element 18) and a second end (constitute by the portion opposite the first end), a pair of lifting arm supports (18), a pair of pivotal connections (24) between the lifting arms and the supports, a cover engagement structure (22,23) arrange at the second end for lifting engagement with the cover (2). A pair of springs (33) biases the lifting arms, wherein the springs (33) assist the pivotal movement to raise the cover engagement structures and therewith the cover. Spring housings (35) enclose the springs.

All functional implication and statement of intended use have been full considered. They, however, are deemed not to impose any structural limitation distinguishable over the Burke device which is capable of being used as a spa cover lifting mechanism.

Regarding claim 24, the mechanism also includes links (Fig. 5) connecting the springs to the lifting arms.

Regarding claim 25, the lifting arms have slots and said links (25) extending from the housings though the slots into the lifting arms.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Claims 14-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Perry (as discussed supra).

Regarding claim 14, each of the spring means (18) includes a first spring end (the hooking portion adjacent element 78), a link (76) connecting the first spring end to the first end of the respective lifting arms, a second spring end (the hooking portion adjacent element 74) and a connection anchoring (74) anchoring the second spring end.

Regarding claims 15 and 16, the Perry reference DIFFERS in that it does not specifically disclose that the connection is adjustable as claimed. However,

Art Unit: 3751

the Perry reference does disclose that the position and tension of the spring could be adjustable by changing the connecting location of the springs (col. 8, lines 56-58). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made recognize that the Perry tension springs are obviously capable of being longitudinally adjustable to vary the position and tension of the springs.

Response to Amendment

11. Applicant's amendment, filed on 11/28/05, to the pending claims is insufficient to distinguish the claimed invention from the cited prior art or overcome the rejections as discussed above.

Response to Arguments

12. Applicant's arguments filed on 11/28/05 with respect to the pending claims have been fully considered. However, they are deemed not persuasive.

Applicant asserts that the Wall et al. reference does not teaches the limitation of a spring that biases the lifting arm, thereby assisting in the raising of the spa cover as recited in the new claims. See Remarks section, pages 10-13.

Nevertheless, such assertions are now moot in view of the new grounds of rejections under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Fettes (5950252); under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Perry (4853985); under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Seyler (4776626); under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Burke (2641019); and under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Perry as discussed above.

Art Unit: 3751

Conclusion

13. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Khoa D. Huynh whose telephone number is (571) 272-4888. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:00-3:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Justine Yu can be reached on (571) 272-4835. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3751

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Khoa D. Huynh Primary Examiner Art Unit 3751

HK 02/05/2006